Commissioners Court - February 16, 2010 ADDENDUM TO NOTICE OF MEETING OF THE COMMISSIONERS COURT OF HAYS COUNTY, TEXAS



This notice is posted pursuant to the Texas Open Meetings Act. (VERNONS TEXAS CODES ANN. GOV. CODE CH. 551). The Hays County Commissioners' Court has scheduled a meeting for 9:00 A.M., on the 16th Day of February, 2010 at the Hays County Courthouse, San Marcos, Texas. The following subject is being added to the agenda:

31. Discussion and possible action to accept or reject CAMPO's recommendation for board composition.

Posted at 5:00 P.M. on the 12TH day of February 12, 2010.

COMMISSIONERS' COURT HAYS COUNTY, TEXAS

CLERK OF THE COURT

Persons with disabilities who plan to attend this meeting and who may need auxiliary aids of services such as interpreters for persons who are deaf or hearing impaired; readers; or large print are requested to contact the Hays County Judge's Office at (512) 393-2205 two (2) work days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Braille is not available.

Agenda Item Request Form

Hays County Commissioners' Court

9:00 a.m. Every Tuesday

Request forms are due in the County Judge's Office

no later than <u>2:00 p.m.</u> on <u>WEDNESDAY</u>. Phone (512) 393-2205 Fax (512) 393-2282

AGENDA ITEM: recommendation	Discussion and possible action to accept or reject CAMPO's for board composition.
CHECK ONE:	\square CONSENT X \square ACTION \square EXECUTIVE SESSION
	☐ WORKSHOP ☐ PROCLAMATION ☐ PRESENTATION
PREFERRED MEE	TING DATE REQUESTED: February 16, 2010
AMOUNT REQUIR	ED:
LINE ITEM NUMB	ER OF FUNDS REQUIRED:
REQUESTED BY:	
SPONSORED BY:	SUMTER
the committee. Table 4 vote was 7-3). Table 2 w	the current make-up of CAMPO Policy Board. Table 2 and 4 were recommendations from was the most favored by committee at a recent committee meeting at an 8-2 vote (Table 2's vas recommended by the Policy Board to the JPA. Table 2 was amended to add one member (Pflugerville) bringing Travis County representation to 9.



Transportation Policy Board Composition Options

The second secon	PERSONAL PART AND WATER OF	STREET, SALES SALES SALES	PRESENTATION OF THE PROPERTY O	PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSONAL PROPERTY OF	iable	T- Current	Fable 1 - Current Board Composition	Dosition	Programme Control of the	SECRETARIOS CONTRACTOR	#1200/grown and and and and and and and and and an	STATE OF THE PARTY		
	2008 Population	% 3-county Per county	Per county	Cities over	Core City	Small City	State Reps	State Reps State Senator	Total Elected	% of Elected	Providers	Providers Board Total	Contract Contract	STATE OF THE PARTY.
TxDOT						ļ			CALICIONS	Officials				
Carital Massa											1	1		
Cobigli Wello														
Bastrop											_	_	Pure Pop	% Diff
Caidwell									١	0%				
E									0	20%				
пауз	147,4/0	10%		_		_				177				
Travis	998,543	65%	2							1/70		٤	1.74462	41.85%
Williamson	394.193	70%	_	,				_	ē	56%		10	11.65454	-16.55%
Continue of the last of the la		2070		,		Ŀ	1		Ç,	28%		5	4.6009.4	7 0 2 0 7
101012	717,740,1	100%	CONCUSTOR STATE	E. 2000 E. 200	WORKSHIP STATES	3	Market Share 2	SECONDICIONAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IN COLUMN TW	THE TOWNSHIPS	1000	3	3		
						The second second						-		

region, the composition of the board is being discussed. The nature of regional representation and methods of formulating mixes of board composition are being considered as we attempt to structure how citizens in the Central Texas region are represented on CAMPO.

Currently, the Transportation Policy Board has 20 voting members and 2 Ex-Officio (Caldwell, Bastrop). The "Pure Pop" column on the right indicates what representation would look like if it were based purely on population proportion.
"% Diff" is a measure of proportionality. If the value is positive, the jurisdiction is

over represented based entirely on population. If the value is negative, the jurisdiction is under represented by population. Currently, Hays and Williamson are over represented and Travis is under represented.

Preliminary comments on the 2008 Federal Certification Review of CAMPO identified potential irregularities regarding the distribution of state-level representation on the policy board. Past peer reviews of CAMPO have also identified this as an issue, although the current level of state legislature representation is much lower than it was several years ago.

2 48 3.81646							5				
4	100%	36	de Carlo San San San	NELLONG DOMEST	SHEET CARRIED	Description of the	,	1			
	6/ 6/			THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1	Charles and Charles	SAME STEEN CANCEL	The second second	THE REAL PROPERTY.	100%	1.652.602	Totals
2	7636						3	1	24%	394,193	Williamson
	%nos	2			-		_	4	60%	998,543	TOPIS
2	13%	2						 -			
-	0%							_	200	149 476	Hays
								_	2%	36,899	Caldwell
-	707	1						1	4%	/3,471	Pushop
1 Pure Pap										72 101	Rastron
					1						Capital Metro
											IXOOI
Providers Board Total	Officials	Officials		Comme states	Reps		50,000			ropulation	
,	% of Elected	Total Elected	State Page State Sanata	State Pane	Small City	Core Cilv	Cities over	Per county	% 5-county	800%	
vis County Representative	and another I ravis County	eorgerown and	res. Added c	Vehi eserifativ	orrigit City I	Dillo ceative	0 1 1000 1000 10			were and contained and cities of y representatives. Added Georgerown	

is under represented. Caldwell, Williamson, and Hays are over represented by population, while Travis reduced by two to 18, even while adding two counties to the MPO. Bastrop, representative is added to reach population proportionality. Board size is Georgetown at 50K population is assumed. An additional Travis County he Boundary Expansion Committee has explored the above configuration, scenario, state officials and small city representatives are removed. directing CAMPO staff to investigate reducing board size. In the above

 Decreases Board size from 	Pros
less direct exposure to	Cons

- current Board size
- members of the legislature
- "% of Elected Officials" No Small City Reps
- Elected officials would all be locally elected
- Separation of "state" from "regional" issues
- Anticipates Georgetown reaching 50,000 in 2009 or 2010

	Totals 1,		Williamson	ITOVIS		Hays	Calawell	C-1-2-1	Bastrop	algorithm and a	State acrietlatura	Culpinal mail o	Canibal Massa	IXDOI		-		The state of the s	lable 4 - Georget
	,652,602	011/11/0	394 193	998,543	3	149,476	36,899		73,491	-		_	1		L	Population 7	2008	Serie Contract	num Pflin
	%001 %001	0/11/2	7.6V.C	60%		9%	2%		4%				1			% 3-county Per county		er ville, cou	privilla con
ŀ	7	_		ω		-		-	1									in y laps ac	20000
-		۷.	,			,									000,00	_	Cities over	Jued. Othe	20.0
	<u> </u>			_											•	AiD もの		r Flected r	Part I and
				2	_				+		-				Elected		Other	ep for each	
c	,				_										A STATE OF THE PERSON SERVICES	tate Rens S		100K out	
0															ACIDIDA CIO	State Reps Shate Senator		side cities	
20		h	2		ω	_		_							Officials	LOTGI Elected	4-4-121	over 50K Ha	
100%	27.07	250/	50%		15%	2%		5%						CIDITILIA	2	% of Elected	2	vs Co. given	
2													_		Providers		. 00	1 Other Fley	
22	U		10			_					_				Board Total	;	or collection of	ys Co. given 1 Other Flected Ren. IIn to 2 State Official	
	4.//05/		12.08449	1.00070	1 00000	0.44656	0.00740	0 000 10	O Pure Pop			4.5					DIO 2 OIGH	2 2 2 2 2	
	4.59%		-20.84%	37./0%	7007	55.34%	11.00%		% Diff								Stelling	26	

Other Elected Representatives as possible placeholders for state officials.

Other Elected Representatives are calculated the same way as Table 3, but there is an option for state representation within the category in this scenario.

Of the four Other Elected Representatives, up to two can be members of the State Legislature, contingent upon CAMPO approval. Board size is 22.

[Q] [Q] [Q]	County Total 180,934 Outside 50K cities	49,618 Georgetown	60,836 Cedar Park	102,805 Round Rock	Williamson 394,193	County Total 207,687 Outside 50K cities	50,000 Pflugerville	740,856 Austin	Travis 998,543	County Total 97,363 Outside 50K cities	52,113 San Marcos	Hays 149,476	
-------------	---	-------------------	-------------------	--------------------	--------------------	---	---------------------	----------------	----------------	--	-------------------	--------------	--

"Other Elected"

Representative Calculation.
Take total county population and subtract population of all aftes within county that have board representation by virtue of being over 50,000 in population. When a dity hits 50,000, it is no longer is subtracted from county total, as the city gets a permanent board seat.