# 1

#### AGENDA ITEM REQUEST FORM

#### **Hays County Commissioners Court**

Tuesdays at 9:00 AM

Request forms are due in Microsoft Word Format via email by 2:00 p.m. on Wednesday.

#### AGENDA ITEM

Discussion and possible action to authorize the County Judge to execute Supplemental Work Authorization No. 1 to a Professional Services Agreement in an increased amount not to exceed \$44,906.00 with HNTB Corporation for design services on a STP MM funded project to provide left turn lanes at the intersection of RM 12 with Oakwood Loop, Summer Mountain Dr, Rancho Encino Dr. and Falconwood Dr.

ITEM TYPE	MEETING DATE	AMOUI	NT REQUIRED
ACTION-ROADS	April 2, 2013	\$4	4,906.00
LINE ITEM NUMBER			
AUDITOR COMMENTS:	AUDITOR USE ONLY		
PURCHASING GUIDELINES FOLLOWED:	N/A	AUDITOR REVIEW:	N/A
REQUESTED BY		SPONSOR	CO-SPONSOR
Borcherding		CONLEY	N/A

#### SUMMARY

The County has executed a Professional Services Agreement with HNTB Corporation in an amount not to exceed \$187,000.00 for PS&E services for the RM 12 Left Turn Lane project. Work completed to date by HNTB has raised questions about the need to identify possible savings in construction cost, for alternatives to retaining walls and for additional care in tree protection. Supplemental Work Authorization No. 1 would fund the additional necessary work.

FILED: HAYS COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' COURT Resolution #28 VOL V PG / 27

HNTB Contract No. 59866 Supplemental Agreement No. 01 Work Authorization No. 01

### SUPPLEMENTAL WORK AUTHORIZATION NO. \_ 1 WORK AUTHORIZATION NO. \_ 1

This Supplemental Work Authorization No. 1 to Work Authorization No. 1 is made pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Agreement entered into by and between Hays County, Texas, a political subdivision of the State of Texas, (*the "County"*) and <u>HNTB Corporation</u> (*the "Engineer"*).

Part1. The Engineer will provide the following engineering services:

The work to be performed by the *Engineer* under this Work Authorization shall consist of performing professional engineering services for the addition of left turn lanes on RM 12 at the intersections of Summer Mountain Drive/Oakwood Loop West, Oakwood Loop East, and Rancho Encino Drive/Falconwood Drive in Hays County.

Part 2. Without modification, the maximum amount payable for services performed under this Billing Rates Supplemental Work Authorization No. 1 is \$44,906.00, which increases the total fee from \$187,000.00 to 231,906.00. A fee schedule used to establish the maximum amount payable is attached hereto as Attachment D1. The Engineer may alter the compensation distribution between individual phases, tasks or work assignments to be consistent with the Services actually rendered, within the total amount. The billing rates and classifications are attached hereto as Attachment D2.

**Part 3.** Payment to the *Engineer* for the services established under this Supplemental Work Authorization No. 1 shall be made in accordance with the Agreement.

Part 4. No change is made to the current termination date of December 31, 2013, unless extended by a Supplemental Work Authorization.

Part 5. This Work Authorization does not waive the parties' responsibilities and obligations provided under the Agreement.

### ATTACHMENT A (con't.)

**Part 6.** This Supplemental Work Authorization No. 1 to Work Authorization No. 1 is hereby accepted and acknowledged below.

COUNTY: Hays County, Texas
By:Signature
Printed Name
Title
Date

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit B – Services to be Provided by the Engineer

Exhibit D1 - Fee Schedule

### Exhibit B – Services to be Provided by the Engineer

## SOCIAL, ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES (FUNCTION CODE 120)

### **Public Involvement**

Prepare for and attend one public meeting.

### SURVEY MAPPING (FUNCTION CODE 150)

### **Tree Survey Tagging**

Perform 3 days of field work to flag the approximately 550 trees per the following color coding:

- Green tree to remain
- Yellow tree to potentially remain
- Red tree to be removed

# ROADWAY DESIGN CONTROLS (FUNCTION CODE 160)

The roadway design will be modified to include the incorporation of a rock cut as an alternative to a retaining wall at the Rancho Encino/Falconwood Dr location.

- Modify cross sections in the location of the rock cut
- Earthwork will be calculated and quantities updated in the roadway summary
- Revise roadway plans as necessary for the rock cut

Perform a cost savings exercise to find solutions which will lower the construction cost

- Coordinate with TxDOT to develop an alternative pavement design
- Discus alternatives with Hays County

Design and develop plans for tree protection

- Determine impacts from proposed roadway improvements to trees.
- Identify trees to be removed, protected and to remain in plans.
- Develop tree protection plan and details and include in plans.

Quality Control/Quality Assurance for Roadway Design activities listed above

#### PROJECT MANAGEMENT/ADMINISTRATION

### (FUNCTION CODE 164)

### **Project Management and Administration**

The *Engineer* shall manage activities associated with the project.

- Attend (2) meetings with Hays County and/or TxDOT to discuss cost savings measures for the project.
- Coordinate with Hays County and geotechnical consultant for purposes of obtaining geotechnical information pertinent to the project.

# CONSTRUCTION PHASE SERVICES (FUNCTION CODE 351)

### **Respond to Requests for Information**

The *Engineer* shall be available to respond to RFIs submitted by the Contractor related to the plans and specifications) as needed throughout the duration of the construction, which is dependent upon the number of RFIs and hours provided by the work authorization. Refer to Exhibit D1 for the number of hours allotted for this task. Once the hours allocated have been used, a supplemental agreement will be required to address additional questions. This agreement includes 15 RFIs at 2 hours per RFI.

The *Engineer* shall document each question in sufficient detail, formulate a response and submit a written version of the response to the Contractor.

### **Shop Drawing Review**

Shop drawings shall be reviewed by the *Engineer* for conformance to the plans and specifications. Refer to Exhibit D1 for the number of hours allotted for this task. Once the hours allocated have been used, a supplemental will be required to address additional questions. This agreement includes 10 sheets of shop drawings.

If the drawing is found to be in conformity, or an alternate design is adequate and acceptable, the drawing shall be marked "No Exceptions Taken" with signature, date and statement that "Review is only for general conformance with the design concept of the contract documents. Markings or comments shall not be construed as relieving the contractor from compliance with the project plans and specifications, nor departures there-from. The Contractor remains solely responsible for details and accuracy, for confirming and correlating all quantities and dimensions, for selecting fabrication processes, for techniques of assembly, for safety and for satisfactory performance of his work."

If there are only minor corrections, the incorrect information shall be crossed out and the correct information shall be written next to the crossed out information. All redlines shall be done in indelible red ink. The submittal shall be returned marked "Make Correction as Noted" and no re-submittal shall be required.

If the corrections are more significant and the *Engineer* does not concur with the information on

HNTB Contract No. 59866 Supplemental Agreement No. 01 Work Authorization No. 01

the drawings, then the submittal shall be returned marked "Amend and Resubmit." The Drawings must then be resubmitted for a second review.

If the drawings are found not to be in conformity, the drawings shall be marked "Rejected See Remarks." An explanation of why the submittal was disapproved shall be provided in enough detail for the Contractor to be able to make the corrections for re-submittal.

A cover letter shall be returned with the reviewed drawings containing:

- A description of the submittal;
- The status of the submittal;
- A listing of sheet numbers and titles reviewed;
- If the design reviewed was an alternate design, a notation declaring that an alternate design was presented and what criteria were used to determine if the alternate design is adequate and acceptable and;
- If the submittal was not "accepted without exception", an explanation of the exceptions.

The *Engineer* shall not accept shop drawing or sample submittals from anyone other than Contractor.

RM 12 TURN LANES

Column	NO COE   TASK DESCRIPTION   SHEETS   STORY	90 00 00 90 00 00 90 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	6 8 8 8 9 7 8 8 8 8 8 9 7 8 8 8 8 9 9 8 8 8 9 8 8 9 8 9	23 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	80 00 8 00 00 1750 00	S		101 M	4.752 (0) 4.752 (0) 4.752 (0) 4.752 (0) 5.752 (0) 6.752
0.0   10   10   10   10   10   10   10	NO OF   SPECIAL   SPECIA	S	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	33.00	8 00 80 00 37 00 77 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	. 000			4 725 4 725 4 725 2 269 2 269 2 269 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Colored Market	FCTSS SUBTOTAL	80 80 0 0	8 8 8 8 6 2 2 3 3 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 00 8 00 50 00 52 00 6 0 6 0 750 00 750 00 750 00 750 00	. 80 00 0 r e 82			4,752, 9,968 9,968 7,2,860 7,2,860 1,1,7,840 1,1,5,844 1
Figure   F	FCTRS SUBTOTAL   0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	00 00 m	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	25 25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	800 800 800 800 1200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	. 00 0			4,762 9,968 3,860 7,3,60 7,784 1,784 1,784 1,564 1,564 1,564 1,564 1,784
NOTA   POTE NUMBER NATIONAL   1	FCTRS SUBFOFML	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	80 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	80 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	00 00 0 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12			4,752 3,890 1,000 4,000 1,157
NOTA   Part	FOLIS   FOLIS SUBTOTAL	0000	00 00 12 22 00 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	32.00	80 00 8 00 32 00 127 00 4 4 4 4	80 0 11 11 12		8.7.8.7	9 968 3 860 7 7 208 7 7 208 1 7 78 4 46 1 1, 58 1 1, 5
	FOTER SUBTORNAL   C   C   C   C   C   C   C   C   C	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	24 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	32.00	80.000 8.00 32.00 120.00 0 4 4	0 0 1 1 10		8288 2	9,9983 3,89983 7,7278 7,7278 1,784 3,514 3
Forestational   Forestationa	FOTER SUBPORK,	00 00 a	24 00 24 00 34 10 34 15 00 35 15 00 3 15 00 3 15 00	23.00	80 00 8 00 32 00 120 00 120 00 120 00	8 0 0 0		8 X 8 R 5	3, 988 3, 988 4, 408 4, 15, 784 1, 15, 84 3, 814 2, 4, 5, 13, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15
Forestant Political Politicales Political Political Political Political Political Political Po	Fortes Sub Tork   Fortes Sub	8 8 0 0	24 00 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	32.00	32 00 120 00 0 0 4 6 12	0 0 1 1 2		88 R	7.226 4.008 25.132 446 1.564 3.514 4.2 4.2 6.0
	FCTRS SUBTOTAL	3 8 a a a	24 00 10 10 15 56 158 00 158 0	. 32 00	120 00	0 0		2	4,000 25,132 1,784 1,584 3,814 6,00
Part	FOTER SUBPOYAL	000 00 00 00	24 00 10 156 00 S	0 0	120 00	0000		27.1 28.0 29.0 29.0 29.0 29.0 29.0 29.0 29.0 29	446 1,784 1,584 3,814 6,6,6
SFINE STANDONN   SFIN	100   100	0 0	23.4 24.5 56.00 158.00 8	6 6	0 485	0		2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	446 1,584 1,584 3,614 3,614 6,61
Forestation	FC164 SUBTOTAL   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	0 0	24 10 10 34 158,00 3	0 0	0 4 8 6	0		28 28 29 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	1,7884 1,584 1,584 3,814 6,6
FOUR SMETTON, 0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	FC NAK SUBFORM.	o 6	2 2 2 0 1 2 4 2 0 0 2 4 2 0 0 2 1 5 8 0 0 0 8 1 5 8 0 0 8 1 5 9 0 0 8 1 5 9 0 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 5 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		D 4 8 4	5		30 30 30 311	A. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.
For the Period Nation   For	FC TAX EMPTOFIAL   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	0 6	24 10 34 56 56 158 00 \$	0	4 8 0			30 30 311	4 7 6
For the Bird Portal	FC Tax SMFOTAL   0	0 6	34 34 58 158.00 \$	0	12 8			311	6 6 6
TOTAL SMETTAL   TOTAL SMETTAL SMETTAL   TOTAL SMETTAL SMETTA	TOTAL SHEETS   0 0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	0. 16	58 158.00 \$		7,	7		311	0
The color of the	TOTAL SHEETS   0 0   14   478   18   18   18   18   18   18   18		58 158.00 \$					311	OF OF
	POLICE PERCENT OF TOTAL LABOR   S. 100   S. 10		158.00 \$	35	140	6			OZF OF
HOLD REPRESENT OF FOLL LABOR   S. A4770   S. A4720	HOUR PERSON OF TOTAL TOT	154.00 \$	- Contraction of the last	126.00	89.00				AN AEA
Control   Cont	MAT.   SET'S   SET   UNIT   MAT.   SET'S   SET   UNIT   SET   SET   UNIT   SET   S	1,232.00 \$	9,164,00 \$	4,032.00	45.0%			40,450,00 \$	40,400
THE BAY   THE BAY   SET   SET   UNIT   COUNTERINATE	TIESH   AMT. SETTS SFF								
Marcia Comercy   Marc	A CONTRACT C	UNIT COST/Estimate	ΥTO	Cost					
Property Control of Co	00000	150	40 \$	00.09					
PEGE 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0000	250	000						
RCORES   0 0 0   SPEET   5 0.08   10.05   10	00	0.04	2000	2 00					
FTISELMENT	0	600	100 \$	9.00					
		0.38	25 8	38.00					
	0	0.10	o)						
### 1 200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0	40000	40 0						
Articelation         Included in the control of t	,	30.00	1 00						
FTISELMENT - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0.555	120 \$	67.00					
MT	0 0 .	20.00	2 00						
Mil 2 (2000) 5 4 5 (2000) 6 5 4 5 (2000) 7074, DRECT EXPENSES 5 (2	0 0	2000	9						
10.14 DRECTEASHESS \$	0 0	1 500 00	n un						
EA 427000 1'07AL DRECT EXPINSES \$				186.00	×				
15 400.00 EA 15 47700 TOTAL DRECT EXPENSES 5	•			-					
\$ 4,270,000 TOTAL DRECT EXPENSES \$			un u	600 00					
\$ 4,270.00 TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES \$			•	200000					
	Total Subconsultant Expense		so	4,270.00		TOTAL DIRE	T EXPENSES \$		

Exhibit D2 - Classifications and Rates
HNTB Corporation

Classifications	Hourly Billing Rate
Project Principal	\$308.00
Senior Project Advisor	\$332.00
Sr. Project Manager	\$248.00
Project Manager	\$198.00
Quality Manager	\$224.00
Deputy Project Manager	\$154.00
Business Manager	\$137.00
Project Administrator	\$97.00
Senior Admin	\$77.00
Admin	\$59.00
Construction Manager	\$224.00
Roadway Task Leader	\$200.00
Structural Task Leader	\$150.00
Senior Engineer	\$176.00
Engineer IV	\$158.00
Engineer III	\$126.00
Engineer II	\$89.00
Engineer I	\$75.00
Senior Designer	\$144.00
Designer	\$91.00
Senior CADD Tech	\$111.00
CADD Tech	\$76.00
GIS Specialist	\$113.00
Environmental Task Leader	\$238.00
Senior Planner	\$157.00
Planner III	\$113.00
Planner II	\$103.00
Planner I	\$81.00
Senior PI Representative	\$125.00
PI Representative	\$93.00

Cobb Fendley & Associates, Inc.

Copp relidies & As	sociates, inc.
Classifications	Hourly Billing Rate
Project/SUE Manager	\$150.00
SR. Engineer	\$190.00
Project Engineer III	\$145.00
Project Engineer II	\$125.00
Project Engineer I	\$95.00
Utility Specialist	\$105.00
Technician III	\$95.00
Technician II	\$85.00
Technician I	\$75.00
Adm/Clerical	\$60.00

### **Loomis Partners**

Classification	Hourly Billing Rate
Chief Surveyor	\$150.00
Project Surveyor II	\$110.00
Project Surveyor I	\$95.00
Survey Technician II	\$80.00
2 Person Field Crew	\$140.00