

### **PUBLIC DISCLAIMER**

This Project Scoring Sheet is provided to the public to ensure full disclosure of one tool the Hays County Parks and Open Space Advisory Commission (POSAC) will utilize to evaluate projects.

The Project Scoring Sheet attached reflects the best efforts of POSAC members to build a solid framework for evaluating projects for recommendation and potential inclusion in a Hays County Parks and Open Space public bond measure. However, it should not be considered as the sole means by which the POSAC will evaluate projects.

In addition to the quantitative scoring methodology of the Project Scoring Sheet, members of POSAC will use qualitative judgments and collective considerations in their final recommendations to the Commissioners Court.

The Project Scoring Sheet has not been evaluated by the Hays County Commissioners Court, and the final determination of projects that may be included in a bond measure shall be determined by the Court.

Hays County Parks & Open Space Advisory Commission (POSAC)

PROJECT SCORING SHEET

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

The questions and criteria below reflect the priorities and goals presented in the 2012 Hays County Parks, Open Space and Natural Areas MASTER PLAN (PLAN) as well as those determined by POSAC. Please review and score each project for each question and tally your total score (max of 100). This will provide your quantitative score for the project.

In addition, at the end of this scoring sheet, please note your qualitative OVERALL ranking for the project by identifying the project as High, Medium or Low. This general evaluation is meant to provide some indication of which "tier" this project might fall into, as well as how it fits relative to other projects up for consideration.

- 1. Project meets PLAN goals: 1) Community, 2) Environmental, 3) Financial (Goals defined on pages 9-10 of the PLAN).
  - a) Meets all 3 goals \_\_\_\_\_ (3 pts)
  - b) Meets 2 of 3 goals \_\_\_\_\_ (2 pts)
  - c) Meets 1 of 3 goals \_\_\_\_\_ (1 pts)

**Total (up to 3 pts)**
  
- 2. Project is a priority in an approved plan or supported by a jurisdiction (for a-c, refer to project types listed in order of priority in the PLAN pages 26-27).
  - a) Project includes High Priority facilities listed in the Plan (some/all of top 3: river/creek access; multi-use trails; camping facilities) \_\_\_\_\_ (up to 8 pts)
  - b) Project includes Moderate Priority facilities listed in the PLAN (some/all of middle 3: nature enjoyment; nature center, festival and event spaces) \_\_\_\_\_ (up to 4 pts)
  - c) Project includes Lower Priority facilities listed in the PLAN (some/all of lower 3: rec center/sports complex/sports fields, shooting sports; community gardens) \_\_\_\_\_ (up to 2 pts)
  - d) Project is included in a locally adopted plan or resolution of support from an official body or jurisdiction. \_\_\_\_\_ (up to 2 pts)

**Total (up to 16 pts)**
  
- 3. Recipient can demonstrate the ability to complete the proposed land acquisition and/or improvements within five years or sooner, including financial readiness.

**Total (up to 8 pts)**

4. Recipient demonstrates matching funds for the project (SELECT ONE):  
*(Staff to calculate percentages)*
- a) Matching funds equal to or greater than 80% \_\_\_\_\_ (5 pts)
  - b) Matching funds 60 to 79% \_\_\_\_\_ (4 pts)
  - c) Matching funds 40 to 59% \_\_\_\_\_ (3 pts)
  - d) Matching funds 20 to 39% \_\_\_\_\_ (2 pts)
  - e) Matching funds less than 20% \_\_\_\_\_ (1 pt)
- Total (up to 5 pts)** \_\_\_\_\_
5. Recipient can demonstrate the ability for the continued operation and maintenance of the property and/or improvements.
- Total (up to 8 pts)** \_\_\_\_\_
6. Site will be readily accessible and open for general public use and enjoyment (score relative to the level of/ease of/ability for public access).
- Total (up to 8 pts)** \_\_\_\_\_
7. Recipient can demonstrate that a variety of users will be accommodated by the project.
- a) Provides accommodation to those with mobility or other impairments. \_\_\_\_\_ (0-4 pts)
  - b) Accommodates diverse populations (age, cultures, low income). \_\_\_\_\_ (0-4 pts)
- Total (up to 8 pts)** \_\_\_\_\_
8. Project preserves and protects natural resources of Hays County.
- a) Acquisition of new natural acreage \_\_\_\_\_ (0-4 pts)
  - b) New permanent conservation easement \_\_\_\_\_ (0-4 pts)
  - c) Opportunity for flood mitigation \_\_\_\_\_ (0-4 pts)
  - d) Opportunity for water quality-quantity protection \_\_\_\_\_ (0-4 pts)
  - e) Opportunity for protecting endangered, threatened, or rare species \_\_\_\_\_ (0-4 pts)
- Total (up to 20 pts)** \_\_\_\_\_
9. Project shows a significant scale of impact.
- a) Serves the greater Hays County population \_\_\_\_\_ (0-2 pts)
  - b) Contiguous to other park or protected lands \_\_\_\_\_ (0-2 pts)
  - c) Adds significant acreage (relative to other projects) \_\_\_\_\_ (0-2 pts)
  - d) Adds significant trail connectivity miles (relative to other projects) \_\_\_\_\_ (0-2 pts)
  - e) Participation by or support from multiple jurisdictions and/or partners \_\_\_\_\_ (0-4 pts)
- Total (up to 12 pts)** \_\_\_\_\_
10. Project creates one or more new recreational opportunities in the jurisdiction/ service area and meets a demonstrated need for the opportunities.
- Total (up to 6 pts)** \_\_\_\_\_
11. Project demonstrates broad community support.
- Total (up to 6 pts)** \_\_\_\_\_

**TOTAL QUANTITATIVE PROJECT SCORE (max of 100 pts)** \_\_\_\_\_

12. OVERALL Project Ranking

Place a check mark next to the Project Category selected on the PIF:

\_\_\_\_\_ Urban Park, Active Recreation, Infrastructure, Special Purpose Facility

\_\_\_\_\_ Open or Natural Space, Habitat Land, Water Quality/Quantity Land

\_\_\_\_\_ Connectivity

Please select one of the OVERALL scoring options and circle your selection below. Select your OVERALL ranking for this project, given everything you know about this project, and given the other projects submitted. Utilize the criteria set forth and selected on the PIF, project knowledge from POSAC research and discussion, and any other information you deem relevant:

- HIGH Priority (very best; high quality/high benefit project; must do)
- MEDIUM Priority (good project; solid benefits; should do if we can)
- LOW Priority (project OK, but some issues identified; with limited funds, this does not rise to the top)

HIGH

MEDIUM

LOW

Additional Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Hays County POSAC Member/Sub-committee signature:

\_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name: \_\_\_\_\_